

Rating Methodology – Power Transmission Projects

Industry Overview

Power Transmission is the key link in the overall power sector value chain. Power transmission aims at evacuating power from power generating units which are spread across the country and supplying to various distribution entities, which in turn supply power to end consumers.

There has been consistent increase in transmission capacity in the country over the decades with length of transmission lines increasing from 1,70,800 ckm in 1991 to 4,15,517 ckm as on June 30, 2019. The transformation capacity stood at 9,12,113 Mega Volt Ampere (MVA) as on June 30, 2019. The total inter-regional transfer capacity of the country stood at ~99 GW as on March 31, 2019.

The Government has launched various projects to strengthen the existing transmission network and increase inter-regional transfer capacity. The power transmission system typically comprises transmission lines, sub-stations, switching stations, transformers and distribution lines. For the transmission and distribution of power, India follows a three-tier structure comprising distribution networks and state grids (owned and maintained by state transmission) and distribution companies and inter-state and inter-regional grids (mostly owned and operated by Power Grid Corporation of India Limited).

Initially, India had five regional grids namely Northern, Southern, Eastern, Western and North Eastern. Over the years all these grids were interconnected with country achieving the status of “One Nation-One Grid-One Frequency” in December 2013.

Power Grid Corporation of India Limited (PGCIL) incorporated in Oct 1989, is the Central Transmission Utility (CTU) of the country accountable for planning, implementation, operation and maintenance of high voltage Inter State Transmission System (ISTS). It owns and operate most of India’s inter regional and Inter State Transmission System (ISTS) and wheels more than 45% of the total power generated across India. Apart from this, Govt. of India is also promoting the private sector participation in power transmission space with a view to rapidly enhance the power transmission capacity. All future projects are awarded through Tariff Based Competitive Bidding (TBCB) route with exception only made in case of implementation of certain strategic and high technology projects which are awarded to PGCIL on nomination basis.

Rating Methodology – Power Transmission Projects

Rating Methodology

While analyzing the power transmission projects CARE focuses on evaluating the following broad parameters for arriving at the rating:

- Project Risk
- Industry Risk
- Management Risk
- Operational Risk
- Financial Risk

Project Risk

For analyzing the risk in the under implementation projects CARE considers the following parameters:

➤ **Pre-construction Risk:**

In the pre-construction stage, the evaluation of transmission project largely involves permitting risks (availability of various statutory approvals and clearances) and funding risk. The clearances for the project include environmental and forest clearance, crossings related to railway, rivers, roads etc. from various government authorities. The projects also involve acquisition of Right of Way (RoW) from various parties for the development of transmission infrastructure. Since securing of necessary approvals and RoW requires dealing with various government and private parties it makes under implementation projects susceptible to delays and thus progress/status of these parameters is an important rating determinant for greenfield projects. This apart the demand and pricing risk is also assessed. Power transmission systems are capital intensive with less likelihood of parallel lines which tend to make them natural monopolies with minimal demand risk. Pricing risk associated with transmission projects is evaluated with assessment of cost plus tariff or tariff based competitive bidding (TBCB) and approval of the same by Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (CERC).

Another important parameter under preconstruction stage is the tie up of finances for the project. The tie up is not only limited to debt but also includes equity/sponsor contribution. Given the associated permitting and construction risk in the projects, the cost and time overruns are common and hence strength and track record of parent/group is also evaluated while arriving at the rating.

➤ **Construction Risk:**

The construction risk mainly involves analysis of location of the project, type of topography, quality of EPC contractor and past experience of promoter in executing similar kind of projects. The projects located in hilly areas with the difficult terrain are generally more prone to construction risk vis-a-vis projects which are

Rating Methodology – Power Transmission Projects

located in the plains. Further, the financial strength of EPC contractor coupled with track record of execution of similar kind of projects in the past is also a key factor while evaluating the construction risk. The strong track record of promoter in executing such projects and its financial strength are important risk mitigants, not only in terms of timely execution but in terms of arrangements of finances in cases of increase in project cost due to contingencies.

Industry Risk:

The transmission industry is highly regulated industry wherein the tariff is determined by the CERC for inter-state transmission projects and State Electricity Regulatory Commission (SERC) for transmission projects involving flow of power within the particular state. The tariff regulations are issued by CERC for a block of five years (current block is 2019-2024) based on which the tariff is determined for all cost plus projects. The tariff for the cost plus projects is determined after the projects have achieved the Commercial Operation Date (COD). To maintain healthy competition, the industry also witnessed private participation for the development of transmission projects wherein the projects are allocated on Build, Own, Operate and Maintain basis (BOOM) and tariffs are determined through a process called 'Tariff Based Competitive Bidding (TBCB)'. Under TBCB mechanism, the bidder specifies a fixed amount as the fee to be paid by the beneficiary for the utilization of transmission line. The credit profile of cost plus projects would generally be better than the projects under TBCB route.

Management Risk

The evaluation of quality of management is an essential part of all rating assessments. The ability of the promoter to support the project at the times of financial stress or any exigencies, track record in implementing and operating large transmission projects and availability of technical manpower etc. are factored in while evaluating the management risk. Further, the transmission projects which have economic/strategic significance such as interstate and intrastate project being executed with the support of central and state government respectively are analyzed for the various linkages in the project SPV and central government or respective state government.

Detailed note on evaluation of management risk: [Refer to CARE's Rating Methodology- Manufacturing Companies.](#)

Rating Methodology – Power Transmission Projects

Operational Risk

While evaluating the operational transmission project, CARE focuses on the following broad parameters. The detailed description of each of the following parameter is presented below.

- Demand and supply risk
- Operations & Maintenance (O&M) risk
- Counter party credit risk

➤ Demand and supply risk

The transmission projects are protected from demand risk as the arrangement between the project developer and the beneficiary is regulated by a long term agreement namely 'Transmission Service Arrangement' (TSA). The annual fixed charge is billed to the beneficiary as a fee for the usage of transmission line as per TSA given the line availability is maintained by the project developer. As TSA is usually for the long term and provides the revenue visibility of the project subject to maintenance of operational parameters. On the supply side, the risk is low, as possibility of coming up the additional line on the same transmission network is negligible. Further, given the industry scenario of demand outstripping the supply, the need of additional transmission line exists and it does not possess any demand risk to the existing lines.

➤ O&M risk

The transmission projects are required to be maintained by the project developer from the general wear and tear over the life of the project. The revenues of transmission project are linked to the annual availability of transmission line and CERC has prescribed normative annual transmission line availability of 98.00%. Further, the projects shall be eligible for incentive if line availability remains above 98.50% and no incentive payable for line availability beyond 99.75%. The O&M costs of a transmission project are generally low. However, repeated breakdowns in the transmission line can increase the O&M costs of a project and also impact the recovery of annual fixed charge if annual availability remains below the normative availability. The projects located in the hills, strong wind and cyclone hit areas are prone to natural calamities which can impact the future cash flows of the project. The projects are analyzed for the backup plans to restore the connectivity, insurance cover to protect the returns, financial health of O&M contractor and past track record of execution of O&M for transmission projects etc. are some of the important factors incorporated in the analysis of transmission project.

➤ Counter party credit risk

CARE while evaluating the credit profile of a transmission project, analyze the payment track record and credit profile of the beneficiary. The transmission projects for the analysis of counter party credit risk can be


Rating Methodology – Power Transmission Projects

divided broadly as projects covered under Point of Connection (PoC) mechanism and projects which are standalone intrastate transmission lines.

❖ Projects covered under PoC mechanism

CERC in 2010 had notified CERC regulation for sharing of Inter State Transmission Charges which is known as Point of Connection (PoC) mechanism. Under PoC, all ISTS licensees have to share the monthly collections arising from ISTS charges. PGCIL being the CTU has been entrusted with the responsibility of billing all ISTS customers on behalf of all ISTS licensees and disburse the collections among all ISTS licensees. It is also responsible for signing of TSA & Revenue Sharing Agreement (RSA) with ISTS licensees and ISTS customers respectively. In this way, the ISTS projects are not directly exposed to the counter party risk as collection role is being played by CTU. Further, the risk of nonpayment of dues from any single project is borne by the all ISTS licensees in the proportion to their share in revenue pool thereby diversifying counter party credit risk. The ISTS projects with the PoC mechanism are placed better as compared to transmission projects under state regulation.

A graphical representation of PoC Mechanism



❖ Standalone intrastate transmission lines

The intra state transmission projects are exposed to the counter party credit risk given the beneficiaries of the projects are state DISCOMs. The state projects are analyzed for the financial position of the state

Rating Methodology – Power Transmission Projects

DISCOM, timely filing of tariff petition & issuance of tariff order from SERC, regulatory environment of the state and timely payment of subsidy by state government to DISCOM. As a payment security, the receivables are backed by revolving letter of credit opened by Long Term Transmission Customer (LTTC) in favor of transmission licensee equivalent to one month of billing which mitigates the counter party risk to some extent in intra state transmission projects. Further as per the provisions, the transmission licensee shall have the right to regulate the power in case of non-payment of dues by the beneficiary.

Financial Risk

The financial risk analysis of transmission projects is done on the below mentioned parameters

- Financial Structure & Leverage
- Recovery of Annual Fixed Cost (AFC) & Cash Flow Adequacy
- O&M cost & Interest rate risk
- Debt Service Coverage Ratio (DSCR)
- Trust & Retention Account (TRA) and Debt Service Reserve Account (DSRA)
- Tail period

➤ **Financial structure & leverage**

Under financial structure and leverage, CARE analyze for the financial structure of the transmission project, debt and equity funding of the transaction and overall leverage of the project. The transmission projects are capital intensive and generally funded in D:E of 70:30 leading to high leverage. Conventionally lower overall gearing is seen positively but transmission projects with stable & predictable cash flow with longer repayment period tend to mitigate the high gearing to an extent. Further, the foreign exchange variation risk is also assessed for projects with un-hedged exposure to project debt raised in foreign currency.

➤ **Recovery of Annual Fixed Cost (AFC) & cash flow adequacy**

The recovery of annual fixed cost of transmission project which comprises of return on equity, depreciation, interest on loan capital, interest on working capital and O&M expense, is linked to the line availability and not subject to usage of transmission system. If the licensee is able to maintain the line availability of above 98.00%, full AFC is recoverable. Further, the licensee is entitled to get incentive, if line availability remains above 98.50%. CARE analyzes the past track record of the licensee in maintaining the line availability. In a transmission project, the cash flow adequacy is certain given the long term TSA is signed between the licensee and beneficiary and line availability is maintained above normative level. Further, the licensees

Rating Methodology – Power Transmission Projects

incur nominal expense on the O&M of the transmission system. While evaluating the financial profile of a transmission project, the adequacy of project cash flows are estimated vis a vis repayment obligations.

➤ **Debt Service Coverage Ratio (DSCR)**

The transmission projects can sustain on the lower debt service coverage ratio given the certainty attached to the cash flows of the project. The revenue stream is fixed based on the TSA signed between the beneficiary and licensee and is not subject to the usage of the transmission system. The transmission projects with the lower DSCR can also fetch better credit profile unlike manufacturing set ups or any other project where the cushion in DSCR need to be plentiful. The DSCR of a transmission project is sensitized under stressed scenarios such as unprecedented increase in the O&M cost, increase in the interest rates and lower line availability among others.

➤ **DSRA & TRA/escrow mechanism**

CARE while evaluating the financial risk of a transmission project also derives comfort from the presence of Debt Service Reserve Account (DSRA) for the servicing of interest and principal obligations. DSRA can aid the project in exigencies such as lower line availability due to issue in transmission system, damage to the transmission system due to natural calamities, delay in the receipt of payment from beneficiary etc. The presence of DSRA lends comfort and enhances the liquidity profile of the transmission project. CARE also derive comfort from the TRA mechanism under which, the cash flows arising from the transmission project are routed through TRA account and applied as per the waterfall mechanism. Excess cash flows after payment of statutory dues, O&M expenses and interest & principal repayments are invested in the permitted investments as per the provision of TRA. Presence of TRA/escrow mechanism prevents the diversion of funds outside the project and is considered as credit positive.

➤ **O&M cost & interest rate risk**

The projects are analyzed for the exposure to variability in O&M cost and interest rate. The O&M cost of a transmission project are nominal though variability in the cost in absence of a fixed price cost can impact the profitability going forward. The projects with fixed price O&M contracts are placed better as compared to otherwise. Further, the interest cost being the major component on cash basis, any uptick in the interest rates can impact the overall debt service ability of a project. Any adverse movement in interest cost of project vis-a-vis fixed revenue streams (under TBCB route) can substantially lower the project returns.

Rating Methodology – Power Transmission Projects

➤ Tail period

Tail period is defined as the period left after the completion of repayment of sanctioned project debt till the maturity of TSA. The transmission projects generally has longer tail period which provide the cushion for any contingencies. The longer tail period helps the transmission license in easy refinancing of project debt at competitive rates with elongated repayment schedules.

Conclusion

The rating outcome is ultimately an assessment of the fundamentals and the probabilities of change in the fundamentals. CARE Ratings analyses each of the above factors and their linkages to arrive at the overall assessment of credit quality of the issuer. In the under implementation projects, the project risk assessment is given higher importance whereas in operational projects, the risk assessment is primarily focused on availability of cash flows vis-a-vis debt service obligations and counter party credit risk. While the methodology encompasses comprehensive technical, financial, commercial, economic and management analysis, credit rating is an overall assessment of all aspects of the issuer.

[Issued in August, 2019. Next review due in August 2020]

CARE Ratings Limited

(Formerly known as Credit Analysis & Research Ltd.),
4th Floor, Godrej Coliseum, Somaiya Hospital Road,
Off Eastern Express Highway, Sion (East), Mumbai - 400 022.
Tel: +91-22-6754 3456, Fax: +91-22- 6754 3457, E-mail: care@careratings.com

Disclaimer

CARE's ratings are opinions on credit quality and are not recommendations to sanction, renew, disburse or recall the concerned bank facilities or to buy, sell or hold any security. CARE has based its ratings/outlooks on information obtained from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable.

CARE does not, however, guarantee the accuracy, adequacy or completeness of any information and is not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such information. Most entities whose bank facilities/instruments are rated by CARE have paid a credit rating fee, based on the amount and type of bank facilities/instruments.

In case of partnership/proprietary concerns, the rating /outlook assigned by CARE is based on the capital deployed by the partners/proprietor and the financial strength of the firm at present. The rating/outlook may undergo change in case of withdrawal of capital or the unsecured loans brought in by the partners/proprietor in addition to the financial performance and other relevant factors.